

Minutes 5th Navigating Knowledge Landscapes network meeting

April 28: Klubben Halden Norway, April 29: Thon Hotel Halden Norway

Participants:

Alexander Palant, Germany
Andrew Webster, UK
Anna Lydia Svalastog, Norway
Antske Fokkens, The Netherlands
Dimitrinka Atanasova, UK
Dina Simunic, Croatia
Doncho M. Donev, Macedonia
Elin Montelius, Sweden
Emilio Sanz, Spain
Hege Kristin Andreassen, Norway
Hrvoje Juric, Croatia
Jana Simenc, Slovenia,
Joyce Lamerichs, The Netherlands
Lenka Slepickova, Czech Republic
Lucia Martinelli, Italy
Lucie Svrcková Palacký, Czech Republic
Margareta Varga, UK
Predrag pale, Croatia
Siv-Britt Björktomta, Sweden
Srecko, Gajovic, Croatia

Minutes

Decisions made regarding upcoming network meeting and events:

- December 2017: 6th network meeting in Amsterdam, host Joyce Lamerichs et al
 - o Local event/workshop of some sort will be organized
- June 2018: 7th network meeting in Østersund Sweden, host Anna Olofsson
 - o NKL-session at the SRA-conference
- November 2018: 8th network meeting in Vienna, Austria, host Barbara Prainsack
 - o Local event.
 - o If the NKL-anthology is ready, we'll also have a book launch in Vienna.

In addition:

- At the end of January 2018 the second conference www.Healthonline.si will be organized at the Faculty of health sciences in Ljubljana (Slovenia). If several members of the NKL network will attend, I could provide and organize a place at our Research centre for a smaller member's meeting. DIPEX members (from our network Sue Ziebland) and also Srecko and Anna Lydia, was involved in presentations on the first conference 2017.

Decisions made regarding publications:

- The Knowledge Landscapes stream in CMJ is still running, and we are encouraged to submit NKL-texts there. We are half time in our agreement with the journal, and so far 10 texts has been published.
- NKL-anthology with Andrew Webster, Srecko Gajovic and Anna Lydia Svalastog as editors. The editors will send out 1-2 pages call ASAP.
- One of the STS-journals in York might be a good place for a NKL theme issue, Andrew will contact the editor.
- The Sociology of Health and Illness, has a call for papers relating to 'Digital Health: Sociological Perspectives'. The deadline for abstracts is July 31. It would be great if a few members could submit to this, see [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1111/\(ISSN\)1467-9566/homepage/call_for_papers.htm](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1467-9566/homepage/call_for_papers.htm)
- The final day, 29th, a smaller group of 8 network members, initiated an article elaborating on health and values, to be submitted to **CMJ**. **Doncho** starts to write, and co-writers include Anna Lydia, Emilio, Joyce, Antske, Lucia, Predrag, and Srecko.

Decision made regarding organisation, recruitment and Steering Committee (SC):

- Chair of the NKL-network is Anna Lydia Svalastog
- Vice Chair of the NKL-Network is Srecko Gajovic
- The network is now 54 members from 22 countries; we have had 5 network meetings with addition public events, including a session at DIPEX International Health Narratives conference. Our homepage is up and running, 10 texts is published, two COST Action applications is submitted, and addition two application to NRC, and now a first conference has been conducted. To relive the workload from Anna Lydia we established a steering committee (SC). It consists of the following members:
 - o Anna Lydia Svalastog (cultural analysis)
 - o Andrew Webster (science and technology studies)
 - o Inigo DeMiguel (law)
 - o Joyce Lamerich (DIPEX)
 - o Lucia Martinelli (science museum)
 - o Margareta Varga (visual analytics)
 - o Srecko Gajovic (medicine)
 - o Anna Olofsson (Risk and Crisis Research Centre, Mid Sweden University)
- We would like to bring some more (2-3) economics on-board. So far, we have one, Prof Hrabrin Bachev (Institute of Agricultural Economics, Bulgaria).
- We would also like to continue to recruite members from not yet represented countries, like for example Finland and Greece. Please have a look at the homepage to see which countries are represented and which are not.

Discussions and decisions made regarding COST Action application

- We discussed the COST Action application at length, with particular focus on the working groups and our key concepts knowledge landscapes and navigation.
- We also discussed in smaller groups, and made some decisions on day two, April 29:
 - o The use of analogies and concepts from cultural and economic geography should be explained in the first page of the application.

- We now suggest 4 WG:
 - WG1: Geography, what is there
 - WG2: How to navigate
 - WG3: Safety and regulation
 - WG4: to start one year after the other working groups and
 - Cross group integration and evaluation: Lessons for future practice
 - Cross group integration and evaluation: Lessons for theory and method
- The WG-discussion was very important, as it elaborated the **concepts of Knowledge Landscapes and Navigation**.
 - The WG-discussions also led to concrete suggestions: **exemplify/identify/discuss different form of information seen on the web and how they are navigated via different paths:**
 - digital health pathways available through ‘road maps’ (organisations/doctors/companies distribute maps to potential ‘road trippers’),
 - interactive routes more like a satnav (satellite navigation) exemplified by apps,
 - algorithm-driven pathways (search engines)
 - more contingent personally created and interpersonal paths which are heavily content dependent
 - Change and update the empirical case studies which are chosen based on explicit criteria such as scale of the problem in ageing European pop – dementia, - growing burden of disease reflecting lifestyle – diabetes – and family-and care-centered case – such as vulnerable children.
 - We want mental health to be represented in the project.
 - The 'Major deliverables' within the different WG descriptions didn't explicitly state the type of deliverables. Other project proposals usually identify the form of the deliverable, for example, a website documenting X, a report on X, an infographic showing X.
 - We did not have time to discuss joint project ideas at the meeting, but we need to do so, and we should discuss getting a taskforce (TF) on joint projects together as soon as possible.